

	Criterion A: Use of notation and terminology	Criterion B: Communication	Criterion C: Mathematical process— developing a model	Criterion D: Results—interpretation	Criterion E: Use of technology	Criterion F: Quality of work
0	The student does <b>not</b> use appropriate notation and terminology.	The student <b>neither provides</b> explanations <b>nor uses</b> appropriate forms of representation (for example, symbols, tables, graphs and/or diagrams).	The student <b>does not define</b> variables, parameters or constraints of the task.	The student <b>has not arrived</b> at any results.	The student uses a calculator or computer for <b>only routine</b> calculations.	The student has shown a <b>poor</b> quality of work.
1	The student uses <b>some</b> appropriate notation and/or terminology.	The student <b>attempts</b> to provide explanations or uses <b>some</b> appropriate forms of representation (for example, symbols, tables, graphs and/or diagrams).	The student defines <b>some</b> variables, parameters or constraints of the task.	The student has arrived at <b>some</b> results.	The student <b>attempts</b> to use a calculator or computer in a manner that could enhance the development of the task.	The student has shown a <b>satisfactory</b> quality of work.
2	The student uses appropriate notation and terminology in a <b>consistent</b> manner and does so throughout the work.	The student provides <b>adequate</b> explanations or arguments, and communicates them using appropriate forms of representation (for example, symbols, tables, graphs and/or diagrams).	The student defines variables, parameters <b>and</b> constraints of the task <b>and</b> attempts to create a model.	The student <b>has not interpreted</b> the reasonableness of the results of the model in the <b>context of the task</b> .	The student makes <b>limited</b> use of a calculator or computer in a manner that enhances the development of the task.	The student has shown an <b>outstanding</b> quality of work.
3		The student provides <b>complete, coherent</b> explanations or arguments, and communicates them clearly using appropriate forms of representation (for example, symbols, tables, graphs and/or diagrams).	The student <b>correctly analyses</b> variables, parameters and constraints of the task to enable the <b>formulation</b> of a mathematical model that is <b>relevant</b> to the task and consistent with the level of the course.	The student has <b>attempted</b> to interpret the reasonableness of the results of the model in the <b>context of the task</b> , to the appropriate degree of accuracy.	The student makes <b>full and resourceful</b> use of a calculator or computer in a manner that <b>significantly</b> enhances the development of the task.	
4			The student <b>considers</b> how well the model fits the data.	The student has <b>correctly</b> interpreted the reasonableness of the results of the model in the context of the task, to the appropriate degree of accuracy.		
5			The student <b>applies</b> the model to other situations.	The student has <b>correctly</b> and <b>critically</b> interpreted the reasonableness of the results of the model in the context of the task, <b>including</b> possible limitations and modifications of these results, to the appropriate degree of accuracy.		

## Overview of assessment criteria for type II tasks